

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/712,743	11/14/2000	Roberta L. Patnode	10655.9500	9259	
7590 10/23/2003 .			EXAMINER		
SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.			BARQADLE, YASIN M		
One Arizona Ce 400 East Van B			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
Phoenix, AZ 85004-0001			2153	Ò	
	•		DATE MAILED: 10/23/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			C
•) •?	Application No.	Applicant(s)	a)
· Office Action Summary	09/712,743	PATNODE ET AL.	•
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAN INO DATE of this communication and	Yasin M Barqadle	2153	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	dears on the cover sheet with the C	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow			
closed in accordance with the practice under <b>Disposition of Claims</b>	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 U.G. 213.	
4) Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application	1.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) 46 is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce			
Applicant may not request that any objection to th  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		· ·	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		oved by the Examiner.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		., (-, -, (,,	
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document		ion No	
Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu     See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional application	n).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	* *		
Attachment(s)	_		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ol>	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office			

### DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-48 are presented for examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and dist inctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 46 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 46 recites the limitation "said economic group" in line 7.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Appropriate correction is required

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lewis USPN. (6513019).

As per claim 1, Lewis teaches a method of creating financial advice applications, comprising

establishing a connection to a client computer (fig. 4, 140) having an application program (col.10, lines 21-33) comprising at least one module, wherein each module of said application program corresponds to a financial services function [col.4, lines 50 to col. 5, line 12];

receiving a request from said client computer for financial

services data [col. 6, line 7-60; col. 20, lines 1-55];

establishing a connection to at least one financial service [fig. 4 and col.4, lines 50 to col. 5, line 12]

transmitting said request for financial services data to at least one financial service [col.10, lines 55 to col. 11 line 55];

receiving and compiling said financial services data from said at least one financial service [fig, 4, database 130 contains compiles financial service data col.11, lines 50 to col. 12 line 4; and

transmitting a result of compiling said financial services data to said client computer [col.4, lines 50 to col. 5, line 12; col. 19, lines 19-65].

As per claim 2, Lewis teaches the method of claim 1, wherein establishing a connection to at least one financial service and transmitting said request for financial services data to at least one financial service comprises:

establishing a connection to an application services manager [col. 6, lines 61 to col 7, line 30; see also col. 21, lines 16-29];

transmitting a request to said application services manager for said financial services data [col. 6, line 7-60; col. 20, lines 1-55]; and

establishing a connection between said application services manager and at least one financial service [fig. 4 and col.4, lines 50 to col. 5, line 12]; and

wherein receiving and compiling said financial services data from said at least one financial service comprises receiving and compiling said financial services data from said application services manager [fig, 4, database 130 contains financial service data col.4, lines 50 to col. 5, line 12; col. 19, lines 19-65].

As per claim 3, Lewis teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising communicating with an authentication server and authenticating a user of said client computer [col. 19, lines 22-36, see also col. 21, lines 16-29].

As per claim 4, Lewis teaches the method of claim 1, wherein said at least one financial service includes at least one of a leased storage service, a formatting service, a library service, a repository service, a simulator service, an asset allocation service, a business graphics service, a roadmap service, and an equity evaluation service [see Figs. 1 and 4; col.4, lines 50 to col. 5, line 48].

As per claim 5, Lewis teaches the method of claim 2, further comprising formatting data transmitted between any of application program, said application services manager, and said

at least one financial service in a standardized data format [col. 9, lines 33-51 and col. 10, lines 50-54].

As per claim 6, Lewis teaches the method of claim 5, wherein said standardized data format is XML [col. 6, lines 1-6 and col. 9, lines 37-51].

As per claim 7, Lewis teaches the method of claim 2, further comprising formatting data transmitted between a plurality of financial services in a standardized data format [col. 9, lines 33-51 and col. 10, lines 50-54].

As per claim 8, Lewis teaches the method of claim 7, wherein said standardized data format is XML [col. 6, lines 1-6 and col. 9, lines 37-51].

As per claim 9, Lewis teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising scripting requests from said client computer such that a single request creates a financial advice application including data from a plurality of financial services [col. 65 lines 27-55].

As per claim 10, Lewis teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising communicating with registration a application configured to allocate a TCP/IP port for use by a financial

service during a client session [col. 19, lines 27 to col. 20, line 23 and col. 21, lines 16-23].

As per claim 11 and 40, Lewis teaches a system and a method for creating financial advice applications, comprising:

at least one client computer (fig. 4, 140) having an application program implemented thereon (col.10, lines 21-33);

an advice utility server in communication with said at least one client computer and said at least one application program [fig. 4, information servers 110, 111 or 112, col. 10, lines 50 to col. 11, line 24]; and

at least one financial service in communication with said advice utility server, wherein each financial service is configured to receive data from said advice utility server and to transmit data to said advice utility server [fig. 4 and col. 10, lines 50 to col. 11, line 55].

As per claim 12 and 41, Lewis teaches the invention, further comprising an authentication server in communication with said advice utility server, wherein said authentication server is configured to authenticate a user of a client computer [col. 19, lines 22-36, see also col. 21, lines 16-29].

As per claim 13 and 42, Lewis teaches the invention, further comprising a data migration mechanism in communication with said advice utility server, wherein said data migration mechanism is

configured to convert data transmissions between any of a client computer, said advice utility server, and a financial service into a standardized data format [col. 9, lines 33-51 and col. 10, lines 50-54].

14 and 43, Lewis teaches the invention, wherein said standardized data format is XML [col. 6, lines 1-6 and col. 9, lines 37-51].

As per claim 15, Lewis teaches the invention, further comprising a translator in communication with said advice utility server, wherein said translator is configured to translate data transmissions between a plurality of financial services into a standardized data format [col. 9, lines 33-51 and col. 10, lines 50-54].

As per claim 16, Lewis teaches the invention, wherein said standardized data format is XML [col. 6, lines 1-6 and col. 9, lines 37-51].

As per claim 17, Lewis teaches the invention, wherein said advice utility server is configured to script requests from said application program such that a single request creates a financial advice application including data from a plurality of financial services [col. 65 lines 27-55].

As per claim 18, Lewis teaches the invention, further comprising a registration application in communication with said advice utility server and said at least one financial service, wherein said registration application i:; configured to allocate a TCP/IP port for use by a financial service during a client session [col. 19, lines 27 to col. 20, line 23 and col. 21, lines 16-23].

As per claim 19 and 44, Lewis teaches the invention, wherein said at least one financial service includes at least one of a leased storage service, a formatting service, a library service, a repository service, a simulator service, an asset allocation service, a business graphics service, a roadmap service, and an equity evaluation service [see Figs. 1 and 4; col.4, lines 50 to col. 5, line 48].

As per claim 20 and 45, Lewis teaches the invention, wherein said advice utility server comprises an application services manager configured to communicate with said at least one client computer and said at least one financial service [col. 6, lines 61 to col. 7, line 30; see also col. 21, lines 16-29].

As per claim 21 and 31 and 46, these are computer readable, device and method claims with similar limitations as claim 1 above, therefore, they are rejected with the same rationale.

As per claim 22 and 32, these claims have similar limitations as claim 2. Therefore, they are rejected with the same rationale. See the rejection on claim 2 above.

As per claim 23 and 33, Lewis teaches the invention, further comprising processing instructions configured to direct a computer to perform the step of authenticating a user of said client computer [col. 19, lines 22-36, see also col. 21, lines 16-29].

As per claim 24 and 34, Lewis teaches the invention, wherein said at least one financial service includes at least one of a leased storage service, a formatting service, a library service, a repository service, a simulator service, an asset allocation service, a business graphics service, a roadmap service, and an equity evaluation service [see Figs. 1 and 4; col.4, lines 50 to col. 5, line 48].

As per claim 25 and 35, Lewis teaches the invention, further comprising processing instructions configured to direct a computer to perform the step of formatting data transmitted between any of said client computer, said advice utility server, and said at least one financial service in a standardized data format [col. 6, lines 61 to col 7, line 30; see also col. 21, lines 16-29].

As per claim 26 and 36, Lewis teaches the invention, wherein said standardized data format is XML [col. 6, lines 1-6 and col. 9, lines 37-51].

As per claim 27 and 37, Lewis teaches the invention, further comprising processing instructions configured to direct a computer to perform the step of formatting data transmitted between a plurality of financial services in a standardized data format [col. 9, lines 33-51 and col. 10, lines 50-54].

As per claim 28 and 38, Lewis teaches the invention,, wherein said standardized data format is XML [col. 6, lines 1-6 and col. 9, lines 37-51].

As per claim 29 and 39, Lewis teaches the invention, further comprising processing instructions configured to direct a computer to perform the step of allocating a TCP/IP port for use by a financial service during a client session [col. 19, lines 27 to col. 20, line 23 and col. 21, lines 16-23].

As per claim 30, Lewis teaches the invention, further comprising processing instructions configured to direct a computer to perform the step of scripting requests from said application program such that a single request creates a financial advice application including data from a plurality of financial services [col. 65 lines 27-55].

As per claim 47, Lewis teaches the invention, wherein said user-supplied data comprises at least one of economic group data and goals [col. 19, lines 12-64].

As per claim 48, Lewis teaches the invention, wherein said economic group data comprises at least one of membership data, demographics data, and financial data [see figs. 24-29 and col. 19, lines 12-64].

#### Conclusion

The prior made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yasin Bargadle whose telephone number is 703-305-5971. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Burgess can be reached on 703-305-9717. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7239 for regular communications and 703-746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-304-3900.

Yasin Bargadle

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100